



中国农业银行

AGRICULTURAL BANK OF CHINA
(INCORPORATED IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA)

HEAD OFFICE: No.69, Jianguomen Nei Avenue, Dongcheng District, Beijing, PRC 100005 Tel: 86-10-85108888 http://www.abchina.com
SINGAPORE BRANCH: 7 Temasek Boulevard #30-01/02/03 Suntec City Tower 1, Singapore 038987 Tel: (65) 6535 5255 Fax: (65) 6538 7960

Consolidated Statement of Financial Position As at 31 December 2025 (Amounts in millions of Renminbi, unless otherwise stated)

	31.12.2025	31.12.2024
ASSETS		
Cash and balances with central banks	2,801,985	2,134,452
Deposits with banks and other financial institutions	456,883	571,956
Precious metals	204,662	115,253
Placements with and loans to banks and other financial institutions	548,381	529,767
Derivative financial assets	33,515	65,920
Financial assets held under resale agreements	1,564,991	1,371,571
Loans and advances to customers	26,178,354	23,977,013
Financial investments		
Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	556,994	513,306
Debt instrument investments at amortized cost	11,799,270	9,905,633
Other debt instrument and other equity investments at fair value through other comprehensive income	3,965,051	3,430,164
Investment in associates and joint ventures	19,820	10,332
Property and equipment	156,514	154,484
Goodwill	10,921	1,381
Deferred tax assets	150,040	148,009
Other assets	337,293	308,894
Total assets	48,784,674	43,238,135
LIABILITIES		
Borrowings from central banks	1,127,471	847,324
Deposits from banks and other financial institutions	6,037,759	4,667,561
Placements from banks and other financial institutions	351,561	364,022
Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	33,509	15,841
Derivative financial liabilities	45,044	58,146
Financial assets sold under repurchase agreements	1,453,842	615,725
Due to customers	32,649,947	30,305,357
Dividends payable	18,433	40,738
Debt securities issued	3,263,887	2,678,509
Deferred tax liabilities	1,458	309
Other liabilities	558,392	547,330
Total liabilities	45,541,303	40,140,862
EQUITY		
Ordinary shares	349,983	349,983
Other equity instruments	470,000	500,000
Preference shares	80,000	80,000
Perpetual bonds	390,000	420,000
Capital reserve	173,426	173,419
Other comprehensive income	69,956	81,816
Surplus reserve	330,932	301,841
General reserve	570,282	532,991
Retained earnings	1,272,603	1,150,758
Equity attributable to equity holders of the Bank	3,237,182	3,090,808
Non-controlling interests	6,189	6,465
Total equity	3,243,371	3,097,273
Total equity and liabilities	48,784,674	43,238,135

Notes to the consolidated financial statements form an integral part of the audited financial statements and a full understanding of the statements and the state of affairs of the Bank cannot be achieved without reference to the complete set of the Bank's audited financial statements. Copies of report and accounts can be obtained upon request from the Singapore Branch.

Consolidated Statement of Profit or Loss As at 31 December 2025 (Amounts in millions of Renminbi, unless otherwise stated)

	31.12.2025	31.12.2024
Interest income	1,201,338	1,275,680
Interest expense	(631,744)	(694,988)
Net interest income	569,594	580,692
Fee and commission income	101,954	89,965
Fee and commission expense	(13,869)	(14,398)
Net fee and commission income	88,085	75,567
Net trading gain	16,905	25,505
Net gain on financial investments	15,892	20,615
Net gain on derecognition of financial assets measured at amortized cost	22,908	7,167
Other operating income	11,747	1,870
Operating income	725,131	711,416
Operating expenses	(275,371)	(261,180)
Credit impairment losses	(127,189)	(130,840)
Impairment losses on other assets	(18)	(267)
Operating profit	322,553	319,129
Share of results of associates and joint ventures	1,136	72
Profit before tax	323,689	319,201
Income tax expense	(31,686)	(36,530)
Profit for the year	292,003	282,671
Attributable to:		
Equity holders of the Bank	291,041	282,083
Non-controlling interests	962	588
	292,003	282,671
Earnings per share attributable to the ordinary equity holders of the Bank (expressed in RMB yuan per share)		
- Basic and diluted	0.78	0.75
Capital Adequacy Ratio	17.93%	18.19%

DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT MEMBERS

INCUMBENT DIRECTORS

Chairman of the Board of Directors, Executive Director: GU Shu
Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, Executive Director, President and Chief Compliance Officer: WANG Zhiheng
Executive Director: LIU Hong
Executive Director, Executive Vice President: LIN Li
Non-executive Director: ZHOU Ji, LI Wei, LIU Xiaopeng, ZHANG Qi, ZHANG Hongwu
Independent Director: WU Liansheng, WANG Changyuan, JU Jiandong, ZHUANG Yumin, ZHANG Qi, WONG Pui Sze Priscilla

INCUMBENT SENIOR MANAGEMENT MEMBERS

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, Executive Director, President and Chief Compliance Officer: WANG Zhiheng
Executive Director, Executive Vice President: LIN Li
Executive Vice President: WANG Wenjin, MENG Fanjun, WANG Dajun
Secretary to the Board of Directors: LIU Qing

FORMER DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT MEMBERS

Former Non-executive Director: XIAO Xiang
Former Independent Director: LEUNG KO May Yee, Margaret
Former Executive Vice President: XU Han
Former Chief Risk Officer: WU Gang

Notes:

- Mr. GU Shu has served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Bank since February 2021. His term of office as a Director is set out in the table above.
- Mr. WANG Zhiheng has concurrently served as the Chief Compliance Officer of the Bank since February 2026. His term of office as the President is set out in the table above.
- Mr. LIU Hong served as an Executive Vice President of the Bank from August 2023 to September 2025.
- Please refer to "Changes in Directors and Senior Management Members" in this section for information relating to the changes in the Directors and Senior Management Members of the Bank.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the shareholders of Agricultural Bank of China Limited (Incorporated in the People's Republic of China with limited liability)

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Agricultural Bank of China Limited (the "Bank") and its subsidiaries (the "Group") set out on pages 1 to 202, which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at 31 December 2025, the consolidated statement of profit or loss, the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, the consolidated statement of changes in equity and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of material accounting policies.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the consolidated financial position of the Group as at 31 December 2025, and of its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with IFRS Accounting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IFRS Accounting Standards") and have been properly prepared in compliance with the disclosure requirements of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing ("ISAs"). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements section of our report. We are independent of the Group in accordance with the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (Including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants ("IESBA Code"), as applicable to audits of the financial statements of public interest entities. We have also fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the IESBA Code. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Key audit matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

Measurement of expected credit losses for loans and advances to customers
Refer to the accounting policy in "Note II 8.5 Impairment of financial instruments, Note III 2 Measurement of the expected credit loss allowance", and "Note IV 8 Credit impairment losses, Note IV 17 Loans and advances to customers, Note IV 44.1 Credit risk" to the consolidated financial statements.

The key audit matter

The Group uses an expected credit loss ("ECL") model to measure the loss allowance for loans and advances to customers in accordance with IFRS 9, Financial Instruments.

The determination of loss allowance for loans and advances to customers using the expected credit loss model is subject to the application of a number of key parameters and assumptions, including the credit risk staging, probability of default, loss given default, exposure at default and discount rate, adjustments for forward-looking information and other adjustment factors. Extensive management judgement is involved in the selection of those parameters and the application of the assumptions.

In particular, the determination of the loss allowance is heavily dependent on the external macro environment and the Group's internal credit risk management strategy. The ECL for corporate loans and advances are derived from estimates including the historical losses, internal and external credit grading and other adjustment factors. The ECL for personal loans are derived from estimates whereby management takes into consideration historical overdue data, the historical loss experience for personal loans and other adjustment factors.

Management also exercises judgement in determining the quantum of loss given default based on a range of factors. These include the financial situation of the borrower, the security type, the seniority of the claim, the recoverable amount of collateral, and other repayment sources of the borrower. Management refers to valuation reports of collateral issued by qualified third party valuers and considers the influence of various factors including the market price, status and use when assessing the value of collaterals. The enforceability, timing and means of realisation of collateral can also have an impact on the recoverable amount of collateral.

We identified the measurement of ECL of loans and advances to customers as a key audit matter because of the inherent uncertainty and management judgement involved and because of its significance to the financial results and capital of the Group.

How the matter was addressed in our audit

Our audit procedures to assess ECL for loans and advances to customers included the following:

- with the assistance of KPMG's IT specialists, understanding and assessing the design, implementation and operating effectiveness of key internal controls of financial reporting over the approval, recording and monitoring of loans and advances to customers, the credit risk staging process and the measurement of ECL for loans and advances to customers.
- with the assistance of KPMG's financial risk specialists, assessing the appropriateness of the ECL model in determining loss allowances and the appropriateness of the key parameters and assumptions in the model, which included credit risk staging, probability of default, loss given default, exposure at default, adjustments for forward-looking information and other adjustments, and assessing the appropriateness of related key management judgement.
- for key parameters involving judgement, critically assessing input parameters by seeking evidence from external sources and comparing to the Group's internal records including historical loss experience and type of collateral. As part of these procedures, we assessed management's revisions to estimates and input parameters by comparing with prior period and considered the consistency of judgement.
- comparing the macroeconomic forward-looking information used in the model with market information to assess whether they were aligned with market and economic development.
- assessing the completeness and accuracy of data used in the ECL model. For key internal data, we compared the total balance of the loans and advances' list used by management to assess the ECL with the general ledger to check the completeness of the data. We also selected samples to compare individual loan and advance information with the underlying agreements and other related documentation, to check the accuracy of data. For key external data, we selected samples to check the accuracy of data by comparing them with public resources.
- for key parameters used in the ECL model which were derived from system-generated internal data, assessing the accuracy of input data by comparing the input data with original documents on a sample basis. In addition, we involved KPMG's IT specialists to assess the logics and compilation of the loans and advances' overdue information on a sample basis.
- evaluating the reasonableness of management's assessment on whether the credit risk of the loan and advance has, or has not, increased significantly since initial recognition and whether the loan and advance is credit-impaired by selecting risk-based samples. We analyzed the portfolio by industry sector to select samples in industries more vulnerable to the current economic situation and selected samples from borrowers with potential credit risk. For selected samples, we checked loan overdue information, making enquiries of the credit managers about the borrowers' business operations, checking borrowers' financial information and researching market information about borrowers' businesses, to check the credit risk status of the borrowers, and the reasonableness of the loans' credit risk stage.
- evaluating the reasonableness of loss given default for selected samples of corporate loans and advances to customers that are credit-impaired, by checking the financial situation of the borrower, the security type, the seniority of the claim, the recoverable amount of collateral, and other repayment sources of the borrower. Evaluating management's assessment of the value of any collateral, by comparison with evaluation result based on the category, status, use of the collateral and market prices. For valuation reports of collateral issued by qualified third party, we evaluated the competence, professional quality and objectivity of the external appraiser. We also evaluated the timing and means of realisation of collateral, evaluated the forecast cash flows, assessed the viability of the Group's recovery plans; based on the above work, we selected samples and assessed the accuracy of calculation for loans and advances' credit losses by using the ECL model.
- performing retrospective review of expected credit loss model components and significant assumptions, to back-test past estimates element against actual outcomes, and assess whether the results indicate possible management bias on loss estimation.
- assessing the reasonableness of the disclosures in the financial statements in relation to expected credit losses for loans and advances against prevailing accounting standards.

Measurement of interests in and consolidation of structured entities

Refer to the accounting policy in "Note II 2 Consolidation, Note III 5 Consolidation of structured entities", and "Note IV 41 Structured entities" to the consolidated financial statements.

The key audit matter

Structured entities are generally created to achieve a narrow and well defined objective with restrictions around their ongoing activities.

The Group may acquire an ownership interest in a structured entity, through initiating, investing or retaining shares in Wealth Management Products ("WMPs"), securitization products, funds, trust investment plans, debt investment plans and asset management plans. The Group may also retain partial interests in derecognized assets due to guarantees or securitization structures.

In determining whether the Group retains any partial interests in a structured entity or should consolidate a structured entity, management is required to consider the power it possesses, its exposure to variable returns, and its ability to use its power to affect returns. These factors are not purely quantitative and need to be considered collectively in the overall substance of the transactions.

We identified the recognition of interests in and consolidation of structured entities as a key audit matter because of the complex nature of certain of these structured entities and because of the judgement exercised by management in the qualitative assessment of the terms and the nature of each entity.

How the matter was addressed in our audit

Our audit procedures to assess the measurement of interests in and consolidation of structured entities included the following:

- assessing the design, implementation and operating effectiveness of key internal controls of financial reporting over measurement of interests in and

consolidation of structured entities.

- selecting significant structured entities of each key product type and performing the following procedures:
 - inspecting the related contracts, internal establishment documents and information disclosed to the investors to understand the purpose of the establishment of the structured entity and the involvement the Group has with the structured entity and to assess management's judgement over whether the Group has the ability to exercise power over the structured entity;
 - inspecting the risk and reward structure of the structured entity, including any capital or return guarantee, provision of liquidity support, commission paid and distribution of the returns, to assess management's judgement as to the exposure, or rights, to variable returns from the Group's involvement in such an entity;
 - inspecting management's analysis of the structured entity, including qualitative analysis and the calculation of the magnitude and variability associated with the Group's economic interests in the structured entity, to assess management's judgement over the Group's ability to affect its own returns from the structured entity;
 - assessing management's judgement over whether the structured entity should be consolidated or not.

- assessing the reasonableness of the disclosures in the financial statements in relation to the measurement of interests in and consolidation of structured entities against prevailing accounting standards.

Measurement of financial instruments' fair value

Refer to the accounting policy in "Note II 8.3 Determination of fair value, Note III 3 Fair value of financial instruments", and "Note IV 46 Fair value of financial instruments" to the consolidated financial statements.

The key audit matter

Financial Instruments carried at fair value account for a significant part of the Group's assets and liabilities. The fair value adjustments of financial instruments may impact either the profit or loss or other comprehensive income.

The valuation of the Group's financial instruments, held at fair value, is based on a combination of market data and valuation models which often require a considerable number of inputs. Many of these inputs are obtained from readily available data, in particular for level 1 and level 2 financial instruments in the fair value hierarchy, the valuation models for which use quoted market prices and observable inputs, respectively. Where one or more significant unobservable inputs, such as credit risk, liquidity and discount rate, are involved in the valuation techniques, as in the case of level 3 financial instruments, then estimates need to be developed which can involve extensive management judgements.

We identified measurement of financial instruments' fair value as a key audit matter because of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value are material to the Group and the degree of complexity involved in the valuation techniques and the degree of judgement exercised by management in determining the inputs used in the valuation models.

How the matter was addressed in our audit

Our audit procedures to assess measurement of financial instruments' fair value included the following:

- assessing the design, implementation and operating effectiveness of key internal controls of financial reporting over the model building, model validation, independent valuation and front office and back office reconciliations for financial instruments.

- assessing the level 1 fair value of financial instruments, on a sample basis, by comparing the fair value applied by the Group with publicly available market data.

- for level 2 and level 3 financial instruments, on a sample basis, involving KPMG's valuation specialists to assess whether the valuation method selected is appropriate with reference to the prevailing accounting standards. Our procedures included: developing parallel models, obtaining inputs independently and verifying the inputs; assessing the appropriate application of fair value adjustment that form an integral part of fair value, by inquiring of management about any changes in the fair value adjustment methodologies and assessing the appropriateness of the inputs applied; and comparing our valuation results with that of the Group.

- assessing the reasonableness of the disclosures in the financial statements in relation to fair value of financial instruments against prevailing accounting standards.

Information other than the consolidated financial statements and auditor's report thereon

The directors are responsible for the other information. The other information comprises all the information included in the annual report other than the consolidated financial statements and our auditor's report thereon.

Our opinion on the consolidated financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact. We have nothing to report in this regard.

Responsibilities of the directors for the consolidated financial statements

The directors are responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements that give a true and fair view in accordance with IFRS Accounting Standards and the disclosure requirements of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance, and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, the directors are responsible for assessing the Group's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the directors either intend to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

The directors are assisted by the Audit Committee in discharging their responsibilities for overseeing the Group's financial reporting process.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. This report is made solely to you, as a body, and for no other purpose. We do not assume responsibility towards or accept liability to any other person for the contents of this report.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with ISAs, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Group's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the directors.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of the directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Group's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Plan and perform the group audit to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business units within the Group as a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and review of the audit work performed for purposes of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with the Audit Committee regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide the Audit Committee with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and, where applicable, actions taken to eliminate threats or safeguards applied.

From the matters communicated with the Audit Committee, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

The engagement partner on the audit resulting in this independent auditor's report is Wong Yuen Shan.